

## Request for Reconsideration of Library Material Form #301

If you wish to recommend the re-evaluation of material in the library's collection, please fill out this form in its entirety. Use one form per item.

Title:	Author:	
Publisher:		
Your name:	Address:	
Phone:	Library card number:	
Petitioner represents:		
Self		
☐ Minor for whom you are legally re	sponsible	
Organization (identify)		
	Review, Evaluation, and Weeding (CREW) standards for library materials if they meet certain criteria that are not based on content vie	
If your reconsideration request pertato this title.	ains to criteria not based on content viewpoint, please select all crite	eria that apply
Is the title:		
☐ Misleading (factually inaccurate ba	ased on current standards and best practices)	
$\square$ Ugly (the condition of the materia	al is beyond mending or re-binding)	
☐ Superseded (a new edition has bee	en published or a better title has been released)	
☐ Trivial (ephemeral or regarding triv	vial events of the past)	
☐ Irrelevant (Not relevant to the nee	eds or interests of the community)	
☐ Found elsewhere (i.e., it is available	e for free from Project Gutenberg or via ILL)	
Other		



If your reconsideration request pertains to content viewpoint or other, please fill out the following section.

The First Amendment's right to freedom of expression encompasses intellectual freedom, which includes an individual's right to receive information on a wide range of topics from a variety of viewpoints. The Supreme Court and other courts have held conclusively that there is a First Amendment right to receive information as a corollary to the right to speak. There are exceptions to First Amendment protections on speech.

If you believe the information or ideas within the category of unprotected speech the title falls u	nis title are not covered by the First Amendment, please choose which nder:
☐ Incitement of imminent lawless action	☐ True threats
$\square$ False statement of fact	☐ Fighting words
Obscenity	☐ Defamation
Speech integral to criminal conduct	☐ Fraud
Have you read, viewed, or listened to the title in	n its entirety?
□No	
Does the work, when taken as a whole, appeal to Yes	o a prurient interest?
Does the work, when taken as a whole, depict of specifically defined by applicable state law?	or describe, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct
Yes	
□No	
Does the work, when taken as a whole, lack ser	ious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value?
□Yes	
□No	

Please answer the following questions in the space provided.
What specifically about this work is objectionable? Please include page numbers, scenes, etc.
How did you learn about the work in question?
Is this work suitable for some age levels? Please specify.
Is there any part of this work that you believe is worthwhile?
Are you aware of the opinions of this work by professional critics? Please cite source.
How have you (or your child, if you are legally responsible for child requesting reconsideration) been adversely affected by this item?

What is your recommendation for this title?
Replace with another work  If checked, what work would you recommend to substitute for it?
Relocate to another section
□ Remove from the library
CERTIFICATION - Reconsideration of Materials requests are public records under Arkansas's Freedom of Information Act. It is a crime under Arkansas Code to falsify a public record. I certify under penalty of law that the foregoing is true and correct.
Petitioner's Signature

Please answer the following questions in the space provided.

Date: