



Request for Reconsideration of Library Material Form #301

If you wish to recommend the re-evaluation of material in the library's collection, please fill out this form in its entirety. Use one form per item.

Title:

Author:

Publisher:

Your name:

Address:

Phone:

Library card number:

Petitioner represents:

- Self
- Minor for whom you are legally responsible
- Organization (identify) _____

Our library utilizes the Continuous Review, Evaluation, and Weeding (CREW) standards for library materials. CREW allows for the removal of library materials if they meet certain criteria that are not based on content viewpoint.

If your reconsideration request pertains to criteria not based on content viewpoint, please select all criteria that apply to this title.

Is the title:

- Misleading (factually inaccurate based on current standards and best practices)
- Ugly (the condition of the material is beyond mending or re-binding)
- Superseded (a new edition has been published or a better title has been released)
- Trivial (ephemeral or regarding trivial events of the past)
- Irrelevant (Not relevant to the needs or interests of the community)
- Found elsewhere (i.e., it is available for free from Project Gutenberg or via ILL)
- Other _____





If your reconsideration request pertains to content viewpoint or other, please fill out the following section.

The First Amendment’s right to freedom of expression encompasses intellectual freedom, which includes an individual’s right to receive information on a wide range of topics from a variety of viewpoints. The Supreme Court and other courts have held conclusively that there is a First Amendment right to receive information as a corollary to the right to speak. There are exceptions to First Amendment protections on speech.

If you believe the information or ideas within this title are not covered by the First Amendment, please choose which category of unprotected speech the title falls under:

- Incitement of imminent lawless action
- False statement of fact
- Obscenity
- Speech integral to criminal conduct
- True threats
- Fighting words
- Defamation
- Fraud

Have you read, viewed, or listened to the title in its entirety?

- Yes
- No

Does the work, when taken as a whole, appeal to the prurient interest?

- Yes
- No

Does the work, when taken as a whole, depict or describe, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by applicable state law?

- Yes
- No

Does the work, when taken as a whole, lack serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value?

- Yes
- No





Please answer the following questions in the space provided.

What specifically about this work is objectionable? Please include page numbers, scenes, etc.

How did you learn about the work in question?

Is this work suitable for some age levels? Please specify.

What is worthwhile in this work?

Are you aware of the opinions of this work by professional critics? Please cite source.

How have you (or your child, if you are legally responsible for child requesting reconsideration) been adversely affected by this item?





Please answer the following questions in the space provided.

What is your recommendation for this title?

Replace with another work

If checked, what work would you recommend to substitute for it?

Relocate to another section

Remove from the library

CERTIFICATION - Reconsideration of Materials requests are public records under Arkansas’s Freedom of Information Act. It is a crime under Arkansas Code to falsify a public record. I certify under penalty of law that the foregoing is true and correct.

Petitioner’s Signature _____

Date: _____

